



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/944,686	08/31/2001	Jeffrey T. Aguilera	10002629-1	2107
7590 04/29/2005			EXAMINER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration P. O. Box 272400			CHUONG, TRUC T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			2179	
			DATE MAILED: 04/29/2005	5

Ditte withens. 04/29/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/944,686	AGUILERA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Truc T Chuong	2179
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a re ly within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT e, cause the application to become AB/	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 J	lanuary 2005	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		ers prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>l</i>		
Disposition of Claims	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	·
·	ding in the application	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-6,8-12,14 and 17-19</u> is/are pen- 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	- ' '	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	wii from consideration.	
	Mad	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-6,8-12,14 and 17-19</u> is/are reje	ctea.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 31 August 2001 is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ obj	ected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(c
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n ndority under 35 U.S.C. &	119(a)-(d) or (f)
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	. phoney andor oo o.o.o. 3	110(a) (a) 01 (i).
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received	
Certified copies of the priority document		polication No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•
application from the International Burea		Toolivou III tillo Ivational Otago
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, ,,,	received
	or the defining depice her.	
Attachment(c)		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) Intention S	ummary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of In	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)
		
S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office A	ction Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 0114206

DETAILED ACTION

This communication is responsive to Amendment, filed 01/14/05.

Claims 1-2, 4-6, 8-12, 14, and 17-19 are pending in this application. In the Amendment, Claims 1, 10, and 14 are amended. This is made non-final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 4-6, 8-12, 14, and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yamamoto et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,553,431 B1).

As to claims 1, 10, and 14, Yamamoto teaches a user interface comprising a simultaneous display of a plurality of first selectable icons each representing a different duplicator (figs. 9A-B show selectable icons representing printers LP3-1, LP3-2, or LP5-1 which can be selected to connect with the scanner, e.g., col. 10 lines 37-63) and a single second selectable icon (OK icon 48 can be selected to generate/activate the connections among the scanner and printers, e.g., figs. 9A-B, and col. 10 lines 37-63) that when selected actuates the respective actuates the duplicators represented by a selection more than one of the first selectable icons (figs. 9A-B discloses that

there are more than one printers can be chosen to make the connections with the scanner at the same time).

As to claim 2, Yamamoto teaches the user interface as defined in Claim 1, wherein:
the simultaneous display of the selectable icons is a menu screen (e.g., window 45 of fig. 9A-B); and

each selectable icon is a menu item (each printer or device is a selectable icon, e.g., col. 10 lines 40-49).

As to claim 4, Yamamoto teaches the user interface as defined in Claim 1, wherein the actuation of the plural duplicators occurs in a chronological sequence selected by the user on the UI (the priority order can be defined by the user when modifying the profile of each device, e.g., col. 19 lines 6-9).

As to claim 5, Yamamoto teaches the user interface as defined in claim 1, wherein the actuation of plural duplicators selected by a user on the UI occurs simultaneously (e.g., figs. 9A-B discloses that there are more than one printers can be chosen to make the connections with the scanner at the same time).

As to claim 6, Yamamoto teaches the user interface as defined in Claim 1, wherein the actuation of the plural duplicators selected by a user on the UI occurs chronologically, simultaneously, or both (Each virtual input/output device information is <u>sequentially</u> displayed on the liquid crystal panel, e.g., col. 12 lines 5-16, and the priority order, col. 19 lines 6-9).

As to claims 8-9, and 11-12, Yamamoto teaches the user interface as defined in Claim 1, wherein each said duplicator has the capability of performing one or more functions selected from the group consisting of printing, magnetic tape recording (figs. 9A-B show selectable icons

representing printers LP3-1 (LP is a laser printer), LP3-2, or LP5-1 which can be selected to receive the print jobs from the scanner, or the image data can be sent to a disk FILE-A5 as shown in fig. 9A-B, e.g., col. 10 lines 37-63), photo imaging substrate recording, recording an optically scanned image onto a magnetic media storage device, magneto optical writing, and electromagnetic radiation broadcasting transmission.

As to claims 17-18, they are method claims of system claims 8-9. Note the rejection of claims 8-9 above respectively.

As to claim 19, this is a computer program product claim of method claim 14. Note the rejection of claim 14 above.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Aiello, Jr. el al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,337,745 B1) teach printer management, status of the printers, and activating different printers (cols. 6-9 and figs. 6-25).

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Truc T Chuong whose telephone number is 571-272-4134. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th and alternate Fridays 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather R. Herndon can be reached on 571-272-4136. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Truc T. Chuong

04/26/05

BA HUMNH/ HMARY EXAMINER